Effect of Menstrual Cycle on Rewarding Properties of Alcohol Cues in Women
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Objective: Compared with men, women are disproportionately affected by alcohol, including greater risks of behavioral impairment and relapse from abstinence-based treatments. One potential mechanism underlying this disparity is ovarian hormone fluctuations across menstrual cycle phases, particularly estradiol (E2). Preclinical and clinical studies have shown that E2 levels positively correlate with alcohol consumption, suggesting E2 modulates drinking. Rewarding properties of alcohol are thought to mediate this relationship. The present study tested the degree to which women report increased rewarding effects from alcohol and heightened attention to alcohol-related cues when E2 was elevated during the late follicular phase of the menstrual cycle.

Method: Fifty women aged 21–29 participated in a within-subjects placebo-controlled study examining how menstrual cycle phase alters the rewarding properties of alcohol and alcohol-associated cues when sober and intoxicated, as measured by their attentional bias toward alcohol-associated cues and subjective reports. Measures were obtained following 0.60 g/kg alcohol and placebo during the early follicular phase when E2 was low and the late follicular phase (i.e., ovulation) when E2 was elevated.

Results: Attentional bias to alcohol-associated cues was greater during the late follicular phase in both sober and intoxicated states. Women reported rewarding effects from alcohol, but no effects of phase were observed.

Conclusions: The findings suggest that the rewarding properties of alcohol-associated cues might be enhanced during the late follicular phase of the menstrual cycle when E2 is elevated, possibly increasing the risk for excessive drinking in women during this phase.

Public Health Significance Statement
The findings of this study demonstrate that around ovulation, women find cues associated with alcohol more rewarding. This enhanced reward can lead to increased alcohol consumption. Thus, women may be at a greater risk for excessive alcohol use during the late follicular phase of the menstrual cycle around ovulation.
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Significant health problems can arise from alcohol consumption, particularly among populations who engage in heavy drinking, such as binge drinking. For example, alcohol use disorders (AUDs) frequently co-occur with cardiovascular disease, liver cirrhosis, and cancers among both male and female populations. However, some adverse outcomes associated with alcohol consumption, such as greater chance of organ damage (Mumenthaler et al., 1999; Nolen-Hoeksema, 2004; Witt, 2007) and greater risk of neurotoxic effects (Hashimoto & Wiren, 2008; Satta et al., 2018; Wilhelm et al., 2016), are exacerbated in women. Women also exhibit patterns of substance abuse that differ from those seen in men, with faster acquisition, a higher frequency of relapse (Anker & Carroll, 2011; Hudson & Stamp, 2011), and a greater sensitivity to acute impairing effects of alcohol (Miller et al., 2009; Weaver et al., 2010). Furthermore, recent studies have indicated that alcohol consumption is on the rise among women (White et al., 2015), consequently making the negative outcomes of drinking of greater concern as they increase in frequency.

Menstrual cycle fluctuations in estradiol (E2) and other ovarian hormones have been linked to excessive alcohol consumption. E2 is the primary active form of estrogen in premenopausal women (Stillwell, 2016) and follows a consistent pattern during each menstrual cycle. E2 levels remain low in the early follicular phase immediately after menstruation, rise over the next week or two to a peak during the late follicular phase around ovulation, and then immediately fall.
cues. Attentional bias is thought to result from a conditioning history indicated by an visual attention an individual allocates to these cues compared with alcohol. The rewarding properties of alcohol-related cues, such as associated with drinking might take on the rewarding properties of doses of alcohol in women. The present clinical study was designed to alcohol consumption might be due to an E2-induced increase in the relationship between E2 and ethanol consumption in a dose-dependent manner (Ford et al., 2002, 2004; Satta et al., 2018). Clinical studies examining several phases of women’s menstrual cycles also have shown that higher E2 levels are associated with increased drinking, suggesting that E2 may play a significant role in modulating women’s alcohol consumption (Erol et al., 2019; Martel et al., 2017; C. A. Martin et al., 1999; Muti et al., 1998). Additionally, some clinical studies have demonstrated that alcohol consumption can elevate E2 levels (Reichman et al., 1993), indicating that the relationship between drinking and E2 levels may be bidirectional. Although the association between elevated E2 and increased alcohol consumption might be due to an E2-induced increase in the rewarding effect of alcohol, no clinical research has tested the degree to which elevated E2 can increase the acute rewarding properties of a dose of alcohol in women. The present clinical study was designed to test this working hypothesis by measuring the rewarding properties of alcohol and alcohol-associated cues in relation to women’s E2 levels. The acute rewarding effects of alcohol were assessed with self-report scales of alcohol’s acute subjective effects, including positive (i.e., rewarding) effects, such as liking the effect and desiring more alcohol. These subject-rated scales have been widely used to assess the rewarding properties as indications of abuse potential of alcohol and several other drugs (de Wit & Griffiths, 1991; Fischman & Foltin, 1991). The scales are highly sensitive to alcohol, including the changes in blood alcohol concentration (BAC) as well as the differences in subjective states that drinkers experience on ascending versus descending limbs of the BAC curve (deWit, 1996; de Wit & Griffiths, 1991; C. S. Martin et al., 1993; Portans et al., 1989). The present study also tested the degree to which the cues associated with drinking might take on the rewarding properties of alcohol. The rewarding properties of alcohol-related cues, such as images of beer or liquor bottles, are commonly measured by the visual attention an individual allocates to these cues compared with nonalcohol neutral cues. Rewarding properties of alcohol cues are indicated by an “attentional bias” toward such cues over neutral cues. Attentional bias is thought to result from a conditioning history wherein these cues become associated with the rewarding effects of alcohol (Field & Cox, 2008; Robinson & Berridge, 1993; Ryan, 2002). As the associative pairing between the alcohol effects and alcohol cues develops, these cues begin to acquire incentive salience, acting as anticipatory reward signals of alcohol itself, thus increasing the likelihood that such cues initiate alcohol consumption (Wiers et al., 2010).

Several studies have utilized attentional bias to examine the relationship between the anticipated rewarding effects of alcohol and alcohol consumption. Generally, it has been found that in the sober state, heavy drinkers exhibit greater attentional bias to alcohol than moderate or social drinkers (Fadardi & Cox, 2009; Field et al., 2004; R. G. Monem & Fillmore, 2017; Townshend & Duka, 2001; Weaver & Fillmore, 2013). Furthermore, there is some evidence that in inpatient AUD populations, greater attentional bias is correlated with an increased incidence of relapse (Cox et al., 2002). Other studies have examined how the acute administration of alcohol can affect attentional bias to its cues. Social and heavy drinkers display attentional bias when sober and under low doses (0.3 g/kg) of alcohol, and there are some reports that such doses can increase the drinker’s attentional bias (Duka & Townshend, 2004; Fernie et al., 2012; Schoenmakers et al., 2008; Weaver & Fillmore, 2013). However, drinkers’ attentional bias can diminish following high doses of alcohol (R. Monem & Fillmore, 2019; Weaver & Fillmore, 2013) possibly indicating a temporary satiety to alcohol because attentional bias returns again when BAC declines (W. Roberts & Fillmore, 2015). Taken together, the findings provide some validity for attentional bias as a measure of the rewarding properties of cues associated with drinking, possibly indicating patterns of excessive consumption. In combination with self-reports of the acute rewarding effects of alcohol, attentional bias expands the assessment of alcohol-related reward to include the anticipatory reward of drinking by assessing the rewarding properties of the cues that typically proceed consumption.

The present study tested the degree to which women report increased rewarding effects from alcohol and heightened attentional bias to alcohol-related cues when E2 was elevated during the late follicular phase of the menstrual cycle. Subjective reports of the rewarding effect of alcohol and attentional bias to alcohol-related cues were assessed in a group of premenopausal adult women following consumption of a controlled dose of 0.60 g/kg alcohol and following a placebo during two distinct phases of the menstrual cycle: the early follicular phase when E2 is low and the late follicular phase (i.e., ovulation) when E2 is elevated. The study tested the hypothesis that subjective rewarding effects of alcohol and attentional bias to alcohol-associated cues would be greater during the late follicular phase of the menstrual cycle when E2 was highest compared with the early phase when E2 was lowest.

**Method**

**Participants**

Fifty premenopausal adult women ages 21–29 were participants in this study. All women reported alcohol consumption at least four times per month, had regular menstrual cycles with an average duration of 21–35 days, and reported no use of hormone-based medication, including oral contraceptives, during the 3 months prior to participation. Women completed questionnaires on their
demographics, drinking habits, and the status of their physical and mental health. Those with head trauma, other central nervous system injury, or a psychiatric disorder were excluded from participation. Women with a substance use disorder as indicated by the Structured Clinical Interview for the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders were also excluded. In addition, women with a possible risk of AUD as indicated by an Alcohol Use Disorder Identification Test score higher than 10 were excluded. Women taking medication contraindicated by alcohol use, or psychoactive medication, also were excluded. Recruitment continued until 50 eligible participants were acquired. Data on the acute effects of alcohol on indicators of reward served as a basis for power calculations to determine sample size.

Prior to test sessions, urine analysis was used to assess participant engagement in recent use of tetrahydrocannabinol (THC), cocaine, amphetamine, benzodiazepines, barbiturates, and opiates. Any participant who tested positive for any of these drugs, except for THC, was rescheduled or excluded from participation. Those whose urine analysis indicated the presence of THC were permitted to participate provided there was no self-reported THC use within 48 hr of the test session (n = 5). Six participants reported regular nicotine use but refrained from use during test sessions. Self-report and a urine test for human chorionic gonadotropin were used to screen out participants who were breast-feeding or pregnant. Participants were recruited via notices posted on community bulletin boards and by social media advertisements. All study volunteers provided informed consent prior to participation and received up to $440 for their participation. The University of Kentucky Medical Institutional Review Board approved the study (IRB Protocol 52637, Estradiol Effects on Behavioral and Reward Sensitivity to Alcohol Across the Menstrual Cycle).

Apparatus and Materials

Visual Dot Probe Task

The visual dot probe task was used to measure participants’ attentional bias toward alcohol-associated images. During this task, participants viewed a series of presentations of image pairs comprised of a neutral image and alcohol-associated image presented side by side on a computer monitor. Ten images depicting an alcoholic beverage were matched with 10 images depicting a neutral, nonalcoholic beverage (e.g., a bottle of soda matched with a bottle of beer). A plain background was used for all images. The alcoholic beverages were comprised of two subcategories of alcohol type: liquor/wine and beer. During each trial, a fixation point was presented for 500 ms in the center of the screen, followed by presentation of an image pair for 1,000 ms. Upon image offset, women responded to the appearance of a visual probe (“X”) by pressing one of two keys to indicate whether the probe appeared on the left or right. Eye-tracking software continuously monitored where participants’ gazes were focused.

Each of the 10 image pairs containing an alcoholic and neutral beverage appeared four times to enable presentation of all possible image-probe combinations (i.e., right and left image locations and right and left probe locations), yielding 40 test trials. Ten neutral image pairs (e.g., a keychain and calculator) were also presented four times each to produce 40 “filler” trials, which intended to prevent participants from habituating to the alcohol stimuli. Test trials were randomly intermixed with filler trials, yielding a total of 80 trials included in the task.

This task was operated using E-Prime experiment generation software (Psychology Software Tools, Pittsburgh, PA) and was performed on a personal computer connected to a Tobii Pro Fusion screen-based eye-tracker (Tobii Technology, Sweden). During the task, participants retained free control of neck and head motion while maintaining an approximate 60 cm distance from the computer monitor. Stimuli were presented on the personal computer, and dual embedded cameras in the Tobii Pro Fusion measured participants’ gaze locations, which were sampled at 120 Hz. Gazes with standard deviations less than 0.5 degrees of visual angle for durations of 50 ms or longer were defined as gaze fixations. The mean duration of gaze fixation directed toward each image type, either alcohol or neutral, was calculated by averaging fixation values across trials. In addition to calculating gaze fixations for alcohol and neutral images as a whole, gaze fixations toward the two subcategories of alcohol image type, liquor/wine and beer, along with neutral images corresponding to each alcohol image type were calculated. Liquor/wine and beer were analyzed separately due to a general preference for liquor and wine over beer among women as beverages of choice (Klatsky et al., 1990).

E-Prime also provided a measure of the reaction time to the visual probe. Faster reaction time to probes that replace alcohol versus neutral images indicates attention bias to alcohol images. Reaction times were only analyzed for trials in which there was a correct response, and values were averaged across trials to produce a mean reaction time for each image type: alcohol, liquor/wine, and beer, as well as neutral images corresponding to each alcohol image type.

Subjective Effects

Visual analog scales (VAS) were used to assess the acute subjective effects of alcohol consumption. Participants rated their experience of four subjective effects: liking the effects, desire for more alcohol, stimulation, and intoxication. Women responded to each prompt on an electronic questionnaire by sliding a vertical mark on a 100 mm line, with the left side, 0, indicating not at all and the right side, 100, indicating very much.

Timeline Follow Back (TLFB)

The TLFB (Sobell & Sobell, 1992) assessed participants’ alcohol consumption during the 30 days before the intake session using a calendar. On each day, women estimated the quantity of standard drinks consumed, as well as the duration of the drinking episode. Binge days were designated by a level of consumption that yielded an 80 mg/100 ml BAC or higher based on the body weight of the participant. The TLFB provided four measures of drinking habits: (a) drinking days (total number of days alcohol was consumed), (b) binge days (total number of binges), (c) drunk days (total number of days women reported feeling drunk), and (d) total drinks (total drinks consumed throughout the 30 days).

Blood Alcohol Concentrations

Blood alcohol concentrations (BACs) were determined from breath samples measured by a Dräger Alcotest 5820 breathalyzer (Lübeck, Germany).
**Hormone Assessment**

Hormone levels were assessed using saliva samples, which women collected each morning via passive drool. Prior to collection, women were instructed not to brush their teeth, smoke, drink, or eat. Collection occurred within 30 min after waking, and samples were frozen immediately following collection. Salivary E2 (pg/mL), progesterone (P4, pg/mL), and luteinizing hormone (LH, mcg/ml) were assayed through the University of Kentucky Center for Clinical and Translational Science using enzyme immunoassay kits available through Salimetrics (State College, PA).

**Test Sessions**

Identical protocols were utilized for the two test sessions. During each session, participants completed the visual dot probe task twice, first following 0.0 g/kg alcohol (placebo) and then later following 0.60 g/kg absolute alcohol. The active dose targeted a peak BAC of 80 mg/100 ml, occurring 60–75 mins following consumption. This dose was selected based on previous findings demonstrating that attentional bias is reliably affected at this BAC (R. Monem & Fillmore, 2019; W. Roberts & Fillmore, 2015; Weaver & Fillmore, 2013).

The active dose contained a ratio of one part 95% grain alcohol and three parts carbonated soda and was separated equally into two glasses. Women were given 6 min to consume both glasses, which results in a 1.0 mg/100 ml/min mean rate rise in BAC (Fillmore & Vogel-Sprott, 1998). An identical consumption protocol was implemented for the placebo. To provide taste cues of alcohol, the placebo beverage contained 3 ml of alcohol floating on the surface. Additionally, an alcohol mist was sprayed on the glasses to mimic condensation, which produced a potent alcoholic odor during consumption. Prior research has demonstrated that participants report alcoholic contents in this beverage (Fillmore et al., 1998).

**Behavioral Testing**

The placebo was administered to participants at the beginning of each test session. Forty minutes later, women completed the visual dot probe task and ratings of subjective effects. Women then consumed 0.60 g/kg alcohol and completed the visual dot probe task 50 min following consumption. The elapsed time allowed for sufficient rise in BAC. Subjective effects were measured nine times following alcohol: 30, 50, 60, 90, 120, 150, 180, 210, and 240 min. BAC was measured at regular 20–30-min intervals throughout the session and coincided with completion of subjective effects measures.

**Criterion Measures and Data Analyses**

**Attentional Bias**

Attentional bias toward alcohol was assessed using multiple measures. Eye-tracking data were used to calculate dwell or the average fixation time on each cue type: “alcohol,” “liquor/wine,” and “beer,” with “liquor/wine” and “beer” being subsets of the “alcohol” cue category. Dwell was also calculated for “neutral” images. Attentional bias toward alcohol was indicated by the magnitude of difference by which dwell was greater to the alcohol versus neutral cues.

Reaction time to the visual probe was used as an auxiliary assessment of attentional bias to demonstrate convergent validity. Reaction times to the appearance of the visual probe following an “alcohol” or “neutral” cue were assessed separately. Attentional bias toward alcohol was indicated by the magnitude of difference by which reaction time to probes replacing alcohol cues was faster than to probes replacing neutral cues.

**Data Analyses**

Cycle phase and alcohol dose effects on dwell and reaction time scores on the visual dot probe task were analyzed using a 2 Phase (early vs. late follicular) × 2 Dose (0.0 g/kg vs. 0.60 g/kg) × 2 Cue (alcohol vs. neutral) repeated measures analysis of variance.

**Procedure**

Individuals who responded to the advertisements completed an online screening survey to assess their eligibility. Each year, thousands of women and men respond to our advertisements seeking participants for studies on alcohol by filling out brief “prescreen” inventories online. Each inventory is assessed to determine eligibility for participation, and eligible participants were contacted for this particular study via text and email. Approximately 5%–10% of respondents to the prescreen were eligible. Of the women who were contacted as eligible, roughly 50% expressed interest in the study and of those approximately 50% were successfully enrolled. Volunteers were told that the purpose of study was to examine the influence of the menstrual cycle on the behavioral effects of alcohol. All sessions were conducted in the Human Behavioral Pharmacology Laboratory of the Department of Psychology at the University of Kentucky and began between 10:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. Research assistants tested each participant individually. Participants were instructed to abstain from food for 4 hr and alcohol for 24 hr before test sessions. Prior to commencement of each test session, participants’ BACs were verified as zero, and their urine was analyzed for drug metabolites (On Trak TesTstiks, Roche Diagnostics Corporation, Indianapolis, IN) and pregnancy (Mainline Confirms human chorionic gonadotropin, Mainline Technology, Ann Arbor, MI). Test sessions were conducted in a small room containing a chair and a desk with a computer that operated the visual dot probe task.

**Intake Session**

Intake sessions took place during the week that women anticipated the start of their menstrual cycle, occurring no more than 3 days following or 7 days prior to the onset of menstruation. During intake, women gave informed consent, become accustomed to the testing environment, filled out questionnaires, and practiced the visual dot probe task. Salivary test kits, as well as instructions for sample collection, were supplied to participants. When their periods began, each woman contacted the lab to schedule the test sessions. Women’s menstrual cycles were used to schedule two test sessions, anchored by start of menstruation as day 0. The first of two test sessions was scheduled on days 4–6, coinciding with onset of the follicular phase when E2 was lowest. The second session was scheduled on days 11–14, coinciding with the late follicular phase when ovulation was expected to occur and E2 levels would be highest. E2 levels were confirmed during these sessions with hormone assays. Test session order was counterbalanced across participants.
PHASE EFFECTS ON REWARDING PROPERTIES OF ALCOHOL

(ANOVA). For dwell data, the primary measure of attentional bias, this analysis was conducted for alcohol cues versus neutral cues and for each type of alcohol cue (“liquor/wine,” and “beer”) versus their associated neutral cue.

To assess the effects of alcohol on subjective reward, paired t test comparisons were conducted for each subjective effect (“intoxication,” “like,” “desire,” “stimulation”) under placebo and 50 min following alcohol consumption during both phases. These times are temporally coincident with completion of the visual dot probe task. Phase effects on the subjective reward of alcohol were assessed using a 2 Phase (early vs. late follicular) × 9 Time Interval ANOVA for ratings of each subjective effect completed under alcohol. The time intervals were: 30, 50, 60, 90, 120, 150, 180, 210, and 240 min post alcohol administration.

Hormone levels were compared between the two test sessions using t tests to confirm that levels, particularly E2, were higher during the test session in the late versus early follicular phase. For each hormone (E2, P4, and LH) a 3-day average level was calculated for each test session that consisted of the level observed on the test day averaged with the level observed on the day prior to and day following the test session. No assumptions of any statistical analyses were violated.

Transparency and Openness

We report how we determined our sample size, all data exclusions (if any), all manipulations, and all measures in the study. This article reports on one component of a larger clinical trial, which is registered as a Phase 1 clinical trial on https://clinicaltrials.gov NCT04595682. Deidentified study data—including subject demographic data and study results—will be submitted to the National Institute of Mental Health Data Archive (NDA) for all subjects who consent to have their data shared. Researchers can submit a request to access the data saved in the NDA database under Collection ID No. 3664. Data were analyzed using Systat 13. This study was not preregistered.

Results

Demographics and Drinking Habits

Participants’ mean drinking and demographic data are reported in Table 1, which shows that women reported consuming alcohol on an average of 9 days during a 30-day period. Average total consumption during that time frame equated to 25 standard drinks. Participants also reported, on average, feeling intoxicated twice and engaging in one binge. These data reflect alcohol consumption levels that are typically observed in this population (Fillmore & Jude, 2011). Of the 50 participants in the sample, the majority (n = 34) reported a preference for wine or liquor and 16 preferred beer. The sample had a racial makeup of White (n = 36), multiracial (n = 7), Black (n = 5), and Hispanic (n = 2).

Hormones

During the early and late follicular phase, mean levels of E2 (pg/ml) were 1.15 (SD = 0.43) and 1.40 (SD = 0.47), respectively. During early and late follicular phase, respective E2 levels ranged from [0.41 to 2.69] and from [0.49 to 2.86]. Values were normally distributed, and only one value was detected as an outlier. During
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</tr>
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<td>PDHQ drinks</td>
</tr>
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<td>PDHQ duration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDHQ frequency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Composite demographic data for all participants (N = 50). Age = years of age; BMI = body mass index; Education = years of education (e.g., high school degree = 12 years); TLFB drinking days = total number of drinking days in the past 30 days; TLFB drunk days = total number of days in which the participant drank to a level that they felt drunk in the past 30 days; TLFB binge days = number of days that met binge drinking criteria in the past 30 days, defined as drinking to or in excess of 80 mg/100 ml; TLFB total drinks = total number of drinks consumed in the past 30 days; PDHQ Drinks = average number of drinks consumed during a single typical drinking occasion; PDHQ Duration = length of a typical drinking occasion; PDHQ Frequency = typical number of drinking occasions in 1 week; TLFB = timeline follow back; PDHQ = Personal Drinking Habits Questionnaire.

Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC)

Women’s mean BACs over time following alcohol are found in Table 2, which shows that mean BACs throughout each session were nearly identical during the early and late follicular phase. Peak BAC occurred 60 min following consumption, with a mean value of 76.5 mg/100 ml (SD = 10.67) during the early follicular phase, and a mean value of 77.72 mg/100 ml (SD = 9.6) during the late follicular phase. A 2 (Phase) × 9 (Time) ANOVA revealed a significant main effect of time, F(1, 49) = 235.0, p < .001, η2 = 0.83. As seen in Table 2, this effect is due to the rise and descent over time of participants’ BACs following alcohol during the session. No significant main effect of phase, F(1, 49) = 0.21, p = .647, or interaction, F(1, 49) = 1.30, p = .240, was observed. Paired t tests indicated no significant difference in BAC between the two test sessions at 50 min post alcohol administration when participants began the visual dot probe task, t(49) = −1.379, p = .174. No detectable BACs were observed following placebo administration.

Attentional Bias

Alcohol Dwell

Figures 1a and 1b show the mean dwell time on alcohol and neutral images in the visual dot probe task following placebo and
Table 2
BAC and Subjective Effects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BAC and subjective effects</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Placebo (0.0 g/kg)</th>
<th>Alcohol (0.60 g/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M (SD)</td>
<td>M (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>50 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAC</td>
<td></td>
<td>67.70 (13.60)</td>
<td>74.96 (11.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late</td>
<td></td>
<td>68.28 (15.42)</td>
<td>77.12 (10.88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intoxication Early</td>
<td></td>
<td>27.16 (23.48)</td>
<td>73.84 (16.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Like</td>
<td></td>
<td>39.02 (24.23)</td>
<td>69.07 (26.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late</td>
<td></td>
<td>40.64 (24.28)</td>
<td>70.52 (26.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desire Early</td>
<td></td>
<td>29.18 (21.84)</td>
<td>31.85 (20.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late</td>
<td></td>
<td>34.08 (25.08)</td>
<td>30.96 (28.56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stimulation Early</td>
<td></td>
<td>30.20 (22.85)</td>
<td>65.28 (21.94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Like</td>
<td></td>
<td>31.12 (24.10)</td>
<td>65.78 (21.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Composite BAC and subjective effects data for all participants (N = 50). Each column indicates the number of minutes postconsumption that measurements were completed. All subjective effects ratings were given on a scale of 1–100. Intoxication = participant’s subjective level of intoxication; Like = how much participant likes the effects she is feeling; Desire = participant’s desire to consume alcohol; Stimulation = participant’s subjective level of stimulation; BAC = blood alcohol concentration.
neutral cues. This difference in dwell time between cues appeared to be much greater in the late follicular phase. Indeed, a 2 (Cue) × 2 (Dose) × 2 (Phase) ANOVA obtained a significant main effect of cue, $F(1, 49) = 9.80, p = .003, \eta^2_p = 0.17$, and a Cue × Phase interaction, $F(1, 49) = 5.07, p = .029, \eta^2_p = 0.09$. Figures 2a and 2b illustrate the interaction. Simple effect $t$ test comparisons demonstrated that the interaction was driven by significantly greater dwell to liquor/wine versus neutral cues following placebo and alcohol during the late phase, $p < .035$, but neither dose in the early phase, $p > .195$. This finding supports the hypothesis that greater attentional bias to alcohol would be observed during the late versus early phase. No other significant main effects or interactions were observed, $p > .061$. Figures 2a and 2b show similar magnitudes of attentional bias following placebo and alcohol.

Liquor/Wine Dwell

Because over two thirds (34 of 50) of the sample reported a preference for either liquor or wine as their beverage of choice, dwell data for liquor/wine cues (Figures 2a and 2b) were analyzed separately from beer cues (Figures 3a and 3b). As shown in Figures 2a and 2b, the mean dwell time for liquor/wine cues was greater than for neutral cues. This difference in dwell time between cues appeared to be much greater in the late follicular phase. Indeed, a 2 (Cue) × 2 (Dose) × 2 (Phase) ANOVA obtained a significant main effect of cue, $F(1, 49) = 8.13, p = .006, \eta^2_p = 0.14$. The figure also depicts a reduction in dwell time to both cues following alcohol consumption relative to placebo. This observation was confirmed by a significant main effect of dose, $F(1, 49) = 4.25, p = .045, \eta^2_p = 0.08$. No significant main effect of phase, $F(1, 49) = 2.44, p = .125$, or interactions were observed, $p > .141$. To test the hypothesis that attentional bias would be greater during the late versus early phase, specific simple effect $t$ test comparisons between alcohol and neutral cues were conducted at each phase following placebo and alcohol. The tests revealed significantly greater dwell to alcohol versus neutral cues following alcohol and placebo in the late phase, $p < .013$, but no significant differences in dwell to alcohol versus neutral cues in the early phase following either dose, $p > .271$. Cronbach’s $\alpha$ analyses confirmed a high degree of internal consistency of the participants’ dwell scores to the alcohol and to the neutral cues during each phase. In the early phase, coefficients for dwell times to the alcohol and neutral cues were 0.825 and 0.762, respectively. At late phase, the coefficients of dwell times to the alcohol and neutral cues were 0.816 and 0.821, respectively.
Beer Dwell

Dwell time to beer and neutral cues is shown in Figures 3a and 3b. In general, dwell time was diminished following alcohol relative to placebo, but no other consistent differences were observed across cue and phase. Indeed, a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ ANOVA revealed only a significant main effect of dose, $F(1, 49) = 4.47, p = .040, \eta^2_p = 0.08$. No other significant main effects or interactions were observed, $p > .162$.

Reaction Time

Figures 4a and 4b show the reaction time to alcohol and neutral cues on the visual dot probe task. Lower reaction time values indicate a faster response and thus greater attentional bias. One case was excluded due to missing data. As seen in the figures, participants showed attentional bias to alcohol as demonstrated by their faster reaction time to alcohol cues versus neutral cues. A $2 \times 2 \times 2$ ANOVA obtained a significant main effect of cue, $F(1, 48) = 4.90, p = .032, \eta^2 = 0.09$. The figures also show that participants had slower reaction times to both alcohol and neutral cues following alcohol administration relative to placebo, and this was evident in both the early and the late follicular phase. This observation was confirmed by a significant main effect of dose, $F(1, 48) = 23.16, p < .001, \eta^2 = 0.33$. No significant main effect of phase, $F(1, 48) = 2.10, p = .154$, or interactions were observed, $p > .361$.

Subjective Effects

Table 2 shows the mean ratings throughout both sessions for each subjective effect: “intoxication,” “like,” “desire,” and “stimulation.” Due to a coding error, eight out of 1,100 ratings were lost; to determine values for these lost ratings, values of ratings completed immediately before and after each lost rating were averaged. A common pattern emerged across the subjective effects, with higher ratings following alcohol relative to placebo. Following alcohol, ratings generally increased and then declined over time as a function of the rise and descent of participants’ BAC during the session. To determine whether subjective ratings were greater under alcohol compared to placebo, paired $t$ test comparisons were conducted for each subjective effect under placebo and 50 min following alcohol consumption during both phases. These times are temporally coincident with completion of the visual dot probe task. The tests revealed a significantly higher rating under alcohol during both phases for ratings of “intoxication,” “like,” and “stimulation,” $p < .001$, but no significant difference during either phase for ratings of

Note. Mean dwell time (ms) toward beer and corresponding neutral cues on the visual dot probe task under placebo and alcohol during the early (A) and late (B) follicular phases of the menstrual cycle. Vertical capped lines indicate standard error of the mean.

Note. Mean reaction time (ms) in response to visual probes following alcohol and corresponding neutral cues on the visual dot probe task under placebo and alcohol during the early (A) and late (B) follicular phases of the menstrual cycle. Vertical capped lines indicate standard error of the mean.
impairment from alcohol at this dose, prior research has confirmed the validity of eye-tracking as an attentional bias measure even in the presence of alcohol-induced oculomotor impairment (Miller & Fillmore, 2011). Indeed, the present study showed significant attentional bias to alcohol cues following the active dose of alcohol despite its overall impairing (i.e., reducing) effect on dwell time.

Regarding the subjective effects of alcohol, no significant phase effects were observed for any of the subjective measures, which contrasts with the demonstrated phase effects on attentional bias as well as the initial hypothesis. However, ratings of “intoxication,” “like,” and “stimulation” were significantly higher under alcohol compared to placebo during both phases, indicating that participants were sensitive to increases in the rewarding properties of alcohol compared to the sober state. In addition, a significant main effect of time was observed for each measure, demonstrating that participants were sensitive to changes in the acute rewarding effects of alcohol as BAC diminished with alcohol metabolism.

When considering the findings from attentional bias and subjective effects measures in tandem, the data suggest that while women’s experience of the acute rewarding effects of alcohol appears to be consistent across cycle phase, women have exhibited greater anticipatory reward from alcohol-related cues during the late follicular phase compared to the early phase. Given that several studies have demonstrated a significant positive relationship between attentional bias in the lab and chronic alcohol consumption levels (Fadardi & Cox, 2009; Field et al., 2004; R. G. Monem & Fillmore, 2017; Townshend & Duka, 2001; Weaver & Fillmore, 2013), the current evidence suggests that women may be at a greater risk of engaging in hazardous drinking during the late follicular phase due to their display of heightened attentional bias.

Interestingly, prior research has shown that among drinkers with heightened attentional bias, greater disinhibition is associated with heavier drinking (W. Roberts et al., 2014). Women exhibit elevated disinhibition under alcohol during the late follicular phase of the menstrual cycle (Griffith et al., 2023); this finding, in tandem with the present data demonstrating heightened attentional bias during the late phase, further suggests that women are more likely to engage in heavy drinking during the late follicular phase. Specifically, elevated attentional bias could make women more likely to initiate a drinking episode in the late follicular phase. Once the drinking episode has begun, continued attentional bias to alcohol cues and the onset of alcohol-induced disinhibition could increase women’s likelihood of binge drinking, ultimately increasing their risk of long-term negative outcomes from heavy drinking.

These risks may be especially relevant to women seeking to limit or abstain from alcohol consumption. In pursuit of generalizability, as well as ethical considerations surrounding the administration of alcohol to heavy drinkers, only social drinkers were recruited. However, findings from the present study suggest that women with an AUD could be at a greater risk of exceeding their consumption limits or relapsing when E2 is elevated during the late follicular phase, which is an important consideration for treatment efficacy. Compounding this concern, women may not be aware of an increased risk during a particular phase of their cycle, as evidenced by the lack of a phase effect on their explicit ratings of the rewarding effects they experienced from alcohol.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to systematically assess the effects of menstrual cycle phase and ovarian hormone levels on the acute rewarding properties of a controlled dose of alcohol in

---

**Discussion**

This study tested the hypothesis that menstrual cycle phase can alter the rewarding properties of alcohol and alcohol-associated cues in premenopausal women. Results showed that in general, women exhibited significantly greater attentional bias to alcohol cues relative to neutral cues, suggesting that the alcohol cues possess a greater incentive salience. This attentional bias toward alcohol cues was apparent in both dwell time and reaction time data from the visual dot probe task, demonstrating convergent validity to both measures of attentional bias. Analyses of dwell data also revealed that women’s attentional bias was most pronounced to liquor/wine cues compared with beer cues. Furthermore, women displayed greater attentional bias to the liquor/wine cues during the late compared with the early follicular phase, indicating an increase in the rewarding properties of the liquor/wine cues as a function of phase and perhaps more specifically an increase in E2 level. Salivary hormone data did confirm that E2 levels, as well as P4 and LH levels, were significantly higher during the late follicular compared with the early follicular test session. These findings support the original hypothesis that women would have greater attentional bias to alcohol-associated cues during the late follicular phase, perhaps increasing the abuse potential of alcohol during this phase. These results cannot be explained by phase or hormonal effects on the women’s BAC as cycle phase had no significant effect on BAC.

The observation that women displayed pronounced attentional bias toward liquor/wine cues, but not beer cues, is an important and novel finding from the present study. Of the 50 participants in this study, only 16 reported a preference for consuming beer, whereas the remaining 34 preferred either liquor or wine. The visual dot probe task is meant to assess which cues participants find rewarding. Given the vast preference for liquor/wine among the sample, the significant main effect of cue for liquor/wine but not beer images for dwell data would seem to suggest that participants do indeed find their drinks of choice more rewarding than other alcoholic beverages, rather than being indiscriminate in their preference for alcohol. Women tend not to prefer beer (Klatsky et al., 1990), so it is quite possible that these data are representative of trends in attentional bias toward alcohol among women generally. This is the first study to report and possibly assess differential preferences toward various alcohol cue types (Maurage et al., 2020); further research is needed to better characterize the efficacy of different alcohol cues in eliciting attentional bias.

Women also displayed an overall reduction in dwell time under alcohol compared with placebo regardless of cue type. This finding is consistent with observations from previous studies (Fernie et al., 2012; Miller & Fillmore, 2011; R. Monem & Fillmore, 2019) and is likely due to a direct impairing effect of alcohol on oculomotor movement, including the ability to maintain gaze fixation (Katoh, 1988; Rohrbaugh et al., 1988). Despite some oculomotor function

---

"**“desire,” p > .165. These findings are reflected in Table 2. A 9 (Time) × 2 (Phase) ANOVA of ratings completed under alcohol was conducted for each subjective effect to assess whether subjective ratings of the rewarding effects of alcohol were significantly greater during the late follicular phase. A significant main effect of time was obtained for all subjective effects, p < .001, η² > .047. No significant main effect of phase or Phase × Time interaction was observed for any item, p > .143.** ---
women. This study highlights the potential role of cycle phase and possibly E2 in sensitizing women to the rewarding properties of alcohol-related cues during a critical phase of the menstrual cycle. Previous preclinical and clinical research has demonstrated that higher E2 levels are associated with greater alcohol consumption (Erol et al., 2019; Lancaster et al., 1996; Martel et al., 2017; C. A. Martin et al., 1999; Muti et al., 1998; A. J. Roberts et al., 1998). This relationship was thought to be facilitated by an E2-induced enhancement of the rewarding properties of alcohol, mediated through dopamine, gamma-aminobutyric acid, and glutamate, which in turn promoted a desire to drink. However, this proposed mechanism remained untested. The present findings support elevated rewarding properties of alcohol-related “anticipatory” cues for drinking as a possible mechanism underlying the relationship between E2 and alcohol consumption. Of course, it is worth noting that the ovarian hormones P4 and LH fluctuate throughout the menstrual cycle as well. Further research into their relationship with alcohol consumption and the rewarding effects of alcohol and its associated cues is needed. Additionally, further research is needed to assess how individual variations in E2, and possibly P4 and LH, may be indicative of the degree of the rewarding properties of alcohol and its cues.

The exclusive participation of women whose menstrual cycles were unaffected by contraceptives is another important limitation concerning generalizability. About one quarter (26.1%) of women ages 15–49 use hormonal contraceptives (Daniels & Abma, 2018). However, the proportion of women who use hormonal contraceptives likely varies with age and may be greater among younger adult women who fit the age demographic of the present study (21–29). Thus, studies involving women who use contraceptives are of vital importance as this demographic represents a substantial component of the population, and variations in sensitivity to the rewarding properties of alcohol could be significantly impacted by hormonal contraception.

The present study focused specifically on the rewarding properties of alcohol and its cues in women, which is important to our understanding of how alcohol affects women and contributes to their unique risks for engaging in hazardous drinking. However, further research is necessary to expand our understanding, including studies on the rewarding properties of alcohol among women on contraceptives, as well as research on sex differences in the incentive salience of alcohol.
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